

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,182	02/06/2004		Carl L.C. Kah, JR.	P/3426-71 7188		
2352	7590	06/20/2006	6 EXAMINER			
		GERB & SOF	NGUYEN, DINH Q			
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3752		

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		KAH,, CARL L.C.				
Office Action Summary	10/774,182					
omoonida	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Dinh Q. Nguyen	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ap	<u>oril 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10,11,18,19,30,31,38,39,44 and 51 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2,9,12,40,49,50,52-54,56 and 72 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>3-8,13-17,20-29,32-37,41-43,45-48,5</u>						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/04,4/05,7/05</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/774,182

Art Unit: 3752

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 10, 11, 18, 19, 30, 31, 38, 39, 44, and 51 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/6/06.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 12, 40, 49, 50, 56, and 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Grizzle et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,634,052) in view of Hunter (U.S. Patent No. 4,971,250).

Grizzle discloses a gear driven sprinkler 2 comprising: a fluid inlet 6, a rotatable nozzle head 42 with a water discharge nozzle 44, a fluid powered motor with turbine 32 and an output shaft (not numbered), a drive train 30/46 coupled to the motor by the output shaft and which in turn rotating the nozzle head, a dynamic viscous damping mechanism (motor compartment 30 containing viscous fluid as stated in column 4, lines 33+), the gears in chamber cavity 30 acting as damping member with radially extended ribs, the viscous fluid in chamber 30

Application/Control Number: 10/774,182

Art Unit: 3752

acting as a rotational damping fluid, or in the alternative, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use viscous fluid for damping purposes as suggested by Hunter (see Hunter's column 3, lines 60-65). Doing so would provide a way to control rotational speed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9, 52-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grizzle (U.S. Patent No. 4,634,052) in view of Hunter (U.S. Patent No. 4,971,250).

Grizzle teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a silicon fluid as a viscous medium. However, Hunter discloses a rotating sprinkler with a dynamic viscous damping mechanism 38 filled with silicon as damping oil. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Grizzle with a silicon fluid as a viscous medium as suggested by Hunter. Doing so would provide a way to control rotational velocity (see column 2, lines 45-55).

With respect to claims 52 and 53, to have different viscosities for the viscous fluid would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,182 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-8, 13-17, 20-29, 32-37, 41-43, 45-48, 55, 57-71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a rotatable sprinkler: Hunter, and Hunter et al.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3752

dqn